Bromoiodinanes with an I(III)–Br bond: preparation, X-ray crystallography and reactivity as electrophilic brominating agents[†]

D. Christopher Braddock,*^a Gemma Cansell,^a Stephen A. Hermitage^b and Andrew J. P. White^a

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 12th January 2006, Accepted 6th February 2006 First published as an Advance Article on the web 22nd February 2006 DOI: 10.1039/b600455e

Bromoiodinanes—conveniently and directly prepared from iodobenzenecarbinols and *N*-bromosuccinimide, and characterised for the first time crystallographically—act as electrophilic bromine donors.

The use of hypervalent iodine reagents in synthetic organic chemistry is a field of much current interest,^{1,2} exemplified by the Dess–Martin periodinane³ and IBX⁴ reagents. We have recently described the use of stoichiometric quantities of di(acetoxy)iodobenzene "DIB" (1) in combination with stoichiometric quantities of lithium bromide to generate an electrophilic source of bromine for the electrophilic monobromination of electron rich aromatics and heteroaromatics, and for the dibromination of alkenes when an additional equivalent of LiBr is present.⁵ We postulated that the *de facto* reactive reagent in the mixture was hypervalent iodine compound **2**, with an I(III)–Br bond, but were unable to isolate such a species. Previous combinations of DIB **1** with bromide sources: TMSBr,⁶ Bu₄NBr⁷ and Et₄NBr⁸ have been suggested to give rise to molecular bromine, AcOBr and Br(OAc)₂ as the actual electrophilic bromine sources, respectively.



There is only one report in the literature of isolated hypervalent iodine compounds with an I(III)–Br bond *viz*. trigonal bipyramidal bromoiodinanes **3a** and **4**, where two sets of lone pairs on iodine occupy equatorial positions.⁹ The authors attributed their ability to isolate these compounds to the inclusion of the hypervalent iodine atom in a five-membered ring, the Thorpe–Ingold effect of the *gem*-dialkyl groups and the inclusion of an additional (other than bromine) stabilising electronegative atom (oxygen) at the other apical position on iodine. By consideration of this latter factor, the *gem*-trifluoromethyl compound **3a** was expected to be more stable than *gem*-dimethyliodinane **4**. This was found to be the case, as witnessed by the stability of **3a** to aqueous KOH,

Fax: +44 (0)207 5945805; Tel: +44 (0)207 5945772

^bGlaxoSmithKline Ltd., Medicines Research Centre, Gunnels Wood Road, Stevenage, UK SGI 2NY

† Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Full crystallographic data for compounds **3b** and **4**. See DOI: 10.1039/b600455e where under similar conditions **4** was completely hydrolysed. Iodinanes **3a** and **4** were found to act as efficient free-radical bromination reagents of benzylic and allylic substrates upon irradiation. Their structural similarity to our proposed brominating agent **2** in the DIB–LiBr system is striking. However, there was no report on their ability to act as electrophilic bromine sources. Guided by Amey and Martin's work,⁹ we have now prepared **3b** and **4**. Our aim was to characterise the I(III)–Br bond in these systems and to examine their propensity to act as electrophilic bromine sources. Herein we report a novel single-step preparation of **3b** and **4**, the first X-ray crystal structures of bromoiodinanes, thereby allowing the determination of I(III)–Br bond lengths, and on the ability of **3b** and **4** to act as electrophilic sources of bromine.



Amey and Martin prepared **3a** *via* direct treatment of alcohol **5a** with potassium hydride and molecular bromine, but this approach for **6** to **4** failed.⁹ Instead, they treated alcohol **6** with *tert*-butyl hypochlorite to give the chloroiodinane **7**, which was hydrolysed to hydroxyiodinane **8** and then treated with HBr in the presence of P_2O_5 to generate **4**. We elected to pursue a one-step synthesis of both **3b** and **4** from the corresponding alcohols **5b**¹⁰ and **6**⁹, respectively. After some experimentation, it was discovered that stoichiometric *N*-bromosuccinimide (NBS) is a suitable reagent for such a transformation, directly delivering **3b** and **4** in 56%[‡] and 60% yields, respectively, after recrystallisation. The side-product from these reactions is succinimide.



Compounds **3b** and **4** were tested as stoichiometric sources of electrophilic bromine for the electrophilic aromatic substitution

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Imperial College London, London, UK SW7 2AZ. E-mail: c.braddock@imperial.ac.uk.;



Fig. 1 The molecular structures of 3b (left) and 4 (right).

of anisole (9) to give bromoanisole 10, for the bromolactonisation of 4-pentenoic acid (11) into bromolactone 12, and the intermolecular bromoetherification with (deuterated) methanol of *trans*-stilbene (13) into (\pm) -1,2-bromoether 14. Bromoiodinane 3b gave a 7% conversion of 11 into 12 (CDCl₃, 24 h, r.t.). In contrast, the more reactive bromoiodinane 4 gave quantitative conversion of 9 into 10⁵ (CDCl₃, 15 h, r.t.), 11 into 12⁵ (CDCl₃, 15 h, r.t.) and 13 into 14¹¹ (CDCl₃, 18 h, r.t.). In all cases, carbinol 6 was the sole side-product. It is of interest to note that, in direct contrast to the use of iodinane 4, attempted bromolactonisation of 11 with molecular bromine gives significant competitive 1,2-dibromination of the olefin.⁵ This suggests that molecular bromine is not generated in this system. Bromolactonisation of 11 is therefore suggested to proceed via a bromonium ion by direct electrophilic bromine transfer from the iodinane. A bromonium ion is also implicated as the intermediate in the transformation of 13 into bromoether 14. The observed para-substitution of 9 is also consistent with the expected directing group effect of an electrophilic substitution reaction.

The X-ray analyses (Fig. 1, Table 1) of crystals of $3b^{12}$ and 4show that both iodine centres adopt a planar T-geometry (i.e. a trigonal bipyramid shape overall), as expected. The five-membered C₃OI rings have an envelope conformation, with the oxygen atom lying ca. 0.23 Å (for **3b**) and ca. 0.44 Å (for **4**) out of the C_3I plane. This distortion in the position of the oxygen atoms manifests itself as a twisting about the I-C(1) bond so that the bromine is moved to the other side of the plane (see Fig. S2 and Fig. S5 in the ESI⁺); the torsion angle about the I–C(1) bond is *ca*. 7° for **3b** and *ca*. 9° for 4. In both cases, the closest intermolecular approach to the iodine centre is from the oxygen of a centrosymmetrically related counterpart at ca. 3.03 Å (3b) and ca. 2.94 Å (4), forming discrete dimer pairs (see Fig. S3 and Fig. S6 in the ESI[†]). Most notably, whilst the I–C(1) bond length is essentially constant (2.120(8) Å in **3b** and 2.112(7) Å in **4**), the I–O and I–Br bond lengths vary considerably in 3b and 4, presumably due to the effect of the electron withdrawing gem-trifluoromethyl groups on the three centre-four electron O-I(III)-Br bond. The I-O bond length is ca. 2.12 Å in 3b and ca. 2.05 Å in 4. The I(III)-Br bond lengths are ca. 2.59 Å and ca. 2.69 Å, respectively. To the best of our knowledge, these bond lengths are the first to be obtained for I(III)-Br bonds.

Table 1 Comparative selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 3b and 4

	3b	4		3b	4
I-Br I-C(1)	2.5945(11)	2.6927(8) 2 112(7)	I0	2.118(6)	2.050(5)
Br-I-O O-I-C(1)	172.80(17) 79.0(3)	$\begin{array}{c} 2.112(7) \\ 172.46(16) \\ 80.3(2) \end{array}$	Br–I–C(1) I–O–C(7)	94.1(2) 116.1(5)	93.25(18) 114.5(4)

These compare with bond lengths of 2.29 Å, 2.47 Å and 2.66 Å for molecular Br₂, Br–I and I₂ bonds, respectively.¹³

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that bromoiodinanes with an I(III)–Br bond act as sources of electrophilic bromine. They are prepared in a novel one step procedure from iodobenzenecarbinols with NBS, allowing the characterisation of I(III)–Br bond lengths by X-ray crystallography. These results also support the proposition that the actual brominating agent in the DIB–LiBr system⁵ is hypervalent iodine compound **2**, containing an I(III)–Br bond.

We thank the EPSRC and GlaxoSmithKline Ltd. for an Industrial CASE award to G. C.

Notes and references

 \ddagger 1-Bromo-3,3-bis-trifluoromethyl-1,3-dihydro-1 λ ³-benzo[*d*][1,2]iodoxole (3b; R = H): To a solution of crude 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-(2iodophenyl)-propan-2-ol (5; R = H, 1.5 g, 4.1 mmol) stirring in CHCl₃ (16 mL) at room temperature was added portion-wise NBS (721 mg, 4.1 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, diluted with further CHCl₃ (24 mL), washed with water (2 \times 40 mL) and brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to give a sticky orange solid. Recrystallisation (EtOAc/hexane) yielded 3b (1.00 g, 56%) as shiny yellow plates: m.p. 190-191 °C; Rf 0.77 (CH2Cl2); FT-IR (NaCl/CDCl3) vmax 1587, 1559, 1457, 1437, 1265, 1193 and 1103 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.03 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.1 Hz, 1 H, Ar-H), 7.80 (dt, J = 7.1, 1.5 Hz, 1 H, Ar-H), 7.74 (dt, J = 6.9, 0.8 Hz, 1 H, Ar-H) and 7.66 (br-d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1 H, Ar-H); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) & 133.8, 132.5, 131.9, 130.0, 129.7 (septet, J = 3 Hz), 122.8 (q, J = 289 Hz), 109.9 and 84.2 (septet, J = 33 Hz); MS (EI⁺) 450, 448 (M⁺), 381, 379 (M - CF₃⁺) and 269 (M - Br⁺); HRMS calc. for C₉H₄⁷⁹BrF₆IO 447.8394 and C₉H₄⁸¹BrF₆IO 449.8374, found 447.8396 and 449.8380, respectively; anal. calc. for C₉H₄BrF₆IO: C 24.08, H 0.90; found: C 23.91, H 0.86. Crystal data: $C_9H_4BrF_6IO$, M = 448.93, orthorhombic, *Pbca* (no. 61), a = 9.663(3), b = 14.7448(17), c = 16.435(3) Å, V = 2341.6(8) Å³, Z = 8, $D_c = 2.547$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K_{α}) = 6.210 mm⁻¹ T =203 K, yellow tablets; 2056 independent measured reflections, R_{int} 0.00%, F^2 refinement, $R_1 = 0.045$, $wR_2 = 0.100$, 1493 independent observed absorption-corrected reflections [$|F_o| > 4\sigma(|F_o|)$, $2\theta_{max} = 50^\circ$], 164 parameters. CCDC 259288. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b600455e

§ 1-Bromo-3,3-dimethyl-1,3-dihydro- $1\lambda^3$ -benzo[d][1,2]iodoxole (4): To a solution of crude 2-(2-iodo-phenyl)propan-2-ol (6; 3.00 g, 11.5 mmol) stirring in CHCl₃ (30 mL) at room temperature was added portion-wise NBS (2.45 g, 13.7 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h, diluted with further CHCl₃ (30 mL), washed with water (2 \times 50 mL) and brine (50 mL), dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated to give a yellow solid. Recrystallisation (EtOAc/hexane) yielded 4 (2.34 g, 60%) as shiny yellow crystals: m.p. 126-128 °C [lit.,8 98-100 °C]; FT-IR (NaCl/CDCl₃) v_{max} 3076, 3053, 3005, 2980, 2924, 2860, 1589, 1560, 1460, 1435, 1377, 1362, 1215, 1153, 1109, 995, 939 and 860 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (270 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.97 (m, 1 H, Ar-H), 7.55-7.49 (m, 2 H, Ar-H), 7.13 (m, 1 H, Ar-H) and 1.54 (s, 6 H, CH₃); ¹³C NMR (68 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.9, 131.2, 130.5, 129.4, 126.0, 112.1, 84.3 and 29.3; MS (EI⁺) 342, 340 (M⁺), 327, 325 (M - CH_3^+) and 262 (M - Br⁺); HRMS calc. for $C_9H_{10}^{79}BrIO$ 339.8960 and C₉H₁₀⁸¹BrIO 341.8939, found 339.8959 and 341.8938, respectively; anal. calc. for C₉H₁₀BrIO: C 31.70, H 2.96; found: C 31.86, H 2.99. Crystal data: $C_9H_{10}BrIO$, M = 340.98, triclinic, $P\bar{1}$ (no. 2), a = 8.115(2), b = 8.2108(17), c= 9.1784(19) Å, α = 106.812(12), β = 91.202(18), γ = 114.00(2)°, V = 528.1(2) Å³, Z = 2, $D_c = 2.144$ g cm⁻³, μ (Mo-K_{α}) = 6.769 mm⁻¹, T =203 K, yellow blocks; 1581 independent measured reflections, R_{int} 2.04%, F^2 refinement, $R_1 = 0.044$, $wR_2 = 0.112$, 1496 independent observed absorption-corrected reflections $[|F_o| > 4\sigma(|F_o|), 2\theta_{max} = 50^\circ], 109$ parameters. CCDC 259289. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b600455e

- A. Varvoglis, Hypervalent Iodine in Organic Synthesis, Academic Press, London, 1997; Hypervalent Iodine Chemistry: Modern Developments in Organic Synthesis, ed. T. Wirth, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- 2 T. Wirth, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 3656-3665.
- 3 D. B. Dess and J. C. Martin, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 4155-4156.
- 4 T. Wirth, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 2812-2814.

- 5 D. C. Braddock, G. Cansell and S. A. Hermitage, Synlett, 2004, 461-464.
- 6 P. A. Evans and T. A. Brandt, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, 37, 6442–6446; P. A. Evans and T. A. Brandt, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1997, 62, 5321–5326.
- 7 H. S. Rho, B.-S. Ko, H. K. Kim and Y.-S. Ju, *Synth. Commun.*, 2002, **32**, 1303–1310.
- 8 A. Kirsching, M. Jesberger and H. Monenschein, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1999, **40**, 8999–9002.
- 9 R. L. Amey and J. C. Martin, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 1779-1784.
- 10 E. F. Perozzi, R. S. Michalak, G. D. Figuly, W. H. Stevenson, III, D. B. Dess, M. R. Ross and J. C. Martin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1981, 46, 1049–1053.
- 11 T. Sugita, J. Nakagawa, K. Nishimoto, Y. Kasai and K. Ichikawa, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1979, 52, 871–879.
- 12 The structure of **3b** is isomorphous with that of its chloro analogue, see: M. Takahashi, H. Nanba, T. Kitazawa, M. Takeda and Y. Ito, *J. Coord. Chem.*, 1996, **37**, 371–378.
- 13 Taken from the *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 66th edn, 1985.



Fast Publishing?Ahead of the field

To find out more about RSC Journals, visit

RSCPublishing

www.rsc.org/journals